

A multi-paradigm complexity metric (MCM)

Authors: [Sanjay Misra](#)

[Faculty of
Engineering,
Department
of Computer
Engineering,
Atılım
University,
Ankara,
Turkey](#)



2011 Article

[Ibrahim Akman](#)

[Faculty of
Engineering,
Department
of Computer
Engineering,
Atılım
University,
Ankara,
Turkey](#)

[Ferid Cafer](#)

[Faculty of
Engineering,
Department
of Computer
Engineering,
Atılım
University,
Ankara,
Turkey](#)

Published in:

· Proceeding

ICCSA'11 Proceedings of the 2011
international conference on
Computational science and Its
applications - Volume Part V
Pages 342-354

Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg
©2011

[table of contents](#) ISBN: 978-3-642-
21933-7

Huge amount of researches and software metrics have been proposed for procedural and object-oriented languages. However, there are only few metrics available in the literature related with multi-paradigm programming languages. In this paper, we propose a metric to evaluate the code written in multi-paradigm language. Our proposed metric can be used for most of the programming paradigms, including both procedural and object-oriented languages.

Keywords: Software complexity, complexity metrics, Python, software development.

1 Introduction

Software quality has been raising demand for decades due to its complexity.

Software

development processes are treated as a complex task, therefore to control its complexity

and to maintain the quality of software is a challenging job. A software product should carry several quality attributes, such as correctness, reliability, efficiency, integrity,

usability, maintainability, testability, flexibility, portability, reusability, and interoperability [1]. According to Somerville [2] the most necessary software quality

attribute is maintainability and, to be able to maintain a software system efficiently, the codes should be understandable for the developers. This means, to achieve high quality code, reduction of code complexity is essential. Metrics are indicators of complexity

and can be used to estimate software quality. There are a number of metrics each focusing on different complexity factors [3].

One may find the hundreds of metrics in the literature for evaluating the software quality. These metrics [4-7, 18-25] are of several types and for different purposes. Somerville [2] categorizes metrics as control and predictor metrics. Control metrics are related to software processes, whereas predictor metrics are associated with software

products. Control metrics estimate effort, time and defects and predictor metrics assess the number of attributes and structures in a code. The literature provides several

metrics for procedural and OO paradigms. However, there are very few metrics

for multi paradigm languages [26-27]. This constitutes our motivation and the present

paper proposes a metric for multi paradigm languages, which combines the features of

procedural and OO languages.

Generally, code complexity depends on its external and internal characteristics which can be characterized by functionality and efficiency respectively. In order to

8. Murgante et al. (Eds.): tCCSA 2011. Part V. LNCS 6786. pp. 342-354. 2011.
CO Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011